

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/941,132	09/30/97	TANAKA	Υ	0649-SP0619P

IM11/0105

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER				
ZITOMER, F				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1713	18			

DATE MAILED:

01/05/99

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

≥ TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final	l rejection		
b) 📐	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained. The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of 1.17 will be calculated from the date of	etition, and the fee have been extension and the correspondin	filed is the date of the response and g amount of the fee. Any extension	d also the date for the need to see pursuant to 37 CFR		
<u> </u>	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with	4 4				
Ap to	plicant's response to the final rejection, place the application in condition for all	filed 17/11/98 has bowance:	een considered with the following e	ffect, but it is not deemed		
1.	The proposed amendments to the dair	n and /or specification will not b	e entered and the final rejection sta	nds because:		
`	a. There is no convincing showing presented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the	proposed amendment is necessary	y and was not earlier		
	b. They raise new issues that would	d require further consideration a	nd/or search. (See Note).			
	c. They raise the issue of new mat	ter. (See Note).				
•	d. They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for	appeal by materially reducing or si	mplifying the issues for		
	e. They present additional claims	without cancelling a correspond	ng number of finally rejected claims	3.		
	NOTE: The "either or additional sear	both proposed & ch add/or sons	eleretion Engle	uset aid/o		
2. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claims _ the non-allowable claims.	would be all	owed if submitted in a separately fil	ed amendment cancelling		
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows:	d amendment will be enter	ed will not be entered and the s	status of the daims will		
	Claims allowed:		<u> </u>			
	Claims objected to:					
	However;					
	Applicant's response has overcon	ne the following rejection(s):				
4. 🔯	The affidavit, exhibit or request for rec Further, the Combination of A hortourers, i. e. uneffec	onsideration has been consider Melences Loeo moting Elected do le	ed but does not overcome the reject with some motorition	tion because of the new issert to show		
5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be cons presented.					
☐ The	proposed drawing correction has	has not been approved by	v the examiner	22		
KI Ozh	er Il serelin nucos	1) claims 1-3 an	d 7-10 given	FRED ZITOMED		
Lin	er The réjection Jucons the pion office en lu	n are maistain	ed	PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1590		